UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,985	03/26/2004	Chung-Chieh Fang	24061.106 (TSMC2003.0551)	9614
42717 HAYNES AND	7590 04/08/2009 DBOONE, LLP	EXAMINER		
IP Section		BAIRD, EDWARD J		
2323 Victory Avenue Suite 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75219			3695	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/809,985	FANG, CHUNG-CHIEH			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ed Baird	3695			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
This application is abandoned in view of:		•			
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on</li> </ol>	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the			
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
<ul> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance		OFD 1.19(d) in ©			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1  (c)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		CFR 1.16(a), IS \$			
(c) The issue ree and publication ree, if applicable, has no	nt been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requestional Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review			
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:					
Per telephone conversation with Attorney David O'D mailed on 30 January 2009.	Dell on 7 April 2009, no response	was made to the Advisory Action			
	/Narayanswamy Subrama Primary Examiner, Art Unit				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) **Notice of Abandonment** Part of Paper No. 20090407